UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,398	07/05/2006	Philippe Courty		2739
Philippe Courty	7590 04/16/200	EXAMINER		
89 Bd Exelmans			HAYES, BRET C	
Paris, F 75016 FRANCE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/585,398	COURTY, PHILIPPE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BRET HAYES	3641			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)☑	Pasnonsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	phruary 2000				
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 February 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
3)[closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Z	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>44-50</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>44-48 and 50</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🛛	Claim(s) 49 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
.0/						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		, taller of 16 mm , 16 16 2			
_	•		(4) - 11 (5)			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/585,398 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

1. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed.

Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Claim Objections

- 2. The claims are objected to because the previous claims were renumbered as 36-43. Either the status identifier "(NEW)" is inappropriate, or, the claim numbers are incorrect. To advance prosecution, examiner presumes that claims 36-43 are canceled and that these claims are to be renumbered as 44-50 (claim 43 is apparently canceled and will not be further addressed herein).
- 3. Claim 49 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to the other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 49 has not been further treated on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 10/585,398 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 44 – 48 and 50 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

- 6. Some examples of ambiguity are as follows:
 - a. Claim 44, line 5, recites "the magazine well," which lacks antecedent basis; line 9, recites "barrel chamber (62) wall," which, if (62) is intended to be the chamber, should be removed, and, if (62) is intended to be the wall, should be placed thereafter, -- wall (62)--; line 10, recites "the thickness" and "said joint profile cross section," which lack antecedent basis in the claim; line 11, "the base (B)" and "the upper edge (O)" lack antecedent basis in the claim; also lines 11 and 12, "in order to favor...a radial adjustment of said curved line," is unclear; line 13 recites "said complete section," which lacks antecedent basis in the claim; also line 13 recites "its," which should be replaced with the element being referenced for clarity; line 14 recites "the outside," which lacks antecedent basis in the claim, and, the recitation "bearing a spherical sector," is unclear as to whether the joint includes or abuts a spherical sector; line 15 recites "the largest

Application/Control Number: 10/585,398 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

diameter," which lacks antecedent basis in the claim; line 16 recites "the chamber boring," which lacks antecedent basis in the claim, and, "in order to favor," which is unclear; line 17 recites "a tapered (fig. 6/15 & 6/16, A) chamber (62)," which figure references should be removed, and, "in linear ball contact," which is unclear; and, line 18 recites "its," which should be replaced with the elements being referenced.

- b. Claim 45, references to figures should be removed.
- 7. This listing is not exhaustive, but rather, exemplary. There may well be other issues with the claims that do not readily appear in this iteration but may become noticeable in the future.
- 8. Again, no art rejections are being made at this time as the metes and bounds of the claims cannot be accurately determined.

Conclusion

- 9. The examiner cannot afford to offer Applicant another opportunity to improve the claims at this time. Therefore, this action is made final.
- 10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3641

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (571) 272 – 6902 or email address bret.hayes@uspto.gov, which is preferred. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

The Central FAX Number is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone, can be reached at (571) 272 – 6873.

/Bret Hayes/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3641

16-Apr-09